

Does Care Bundling Improve Outcomes for Patients with Intracranial Hemorrhage?

Adrian R. Parry-Jones, MD, PhD

Stroke Association Margaret Giffen Reader, University of Manchester

Consultant Neurologist, Northern Care Alliance NHS Foundation Trust

Manchester, United Kingdom

Resource Information

About This Resource

These slides are one component of a continuing education program available online at MedEd On The Go titled What's New in Treating the Anticoagulated Patient with ICH?

Program Learning Objectives:

- Describe the various therapies necessary to manage the care of anticoagulated patients with ICH in the neurocritical care setting, including reversal and repletion
- Illustrate the latest neurosurgical clinical trial data to optimize care for patients with ICH
- Categorize the specific recommendations from the recent ESO guidelines on the management of ICH in the anticoagulated patient and describe approaches to implement them
- Outline the 3 elements of ICH care bundling and how each optimizes the care of the anticoagulated patient

MedEd On The Go® www.mededonthego.com



This content or portions thereof may not be published, posted online or used in presentations without permission.

This content can be saved for personal use (non-commercial use only) with credit given to the resource authors.



To contact us regarding inaccuracies, omissions or permissions please email us at <u>support@MedEdOTG.com</u>

Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed in this educational activity are those of the faculty and do not necessarily represent the views of Total CME, LLC, the CME providers, or the companies providing educational grants. This presentation is not intended to define an exclusive course of patient management; the participant should use their clinical judgment, knowledge, experience, and diagnostic skills in applying or adopting for professional use any of the information provided herein. Any procedures, medications, or other courses of diagnosis or treatment discussed or suggested in this activity should not be used by clinicians without evaluation of their patient's conditions and possible contraindications or dangers in use, review of any applicable manufacturer's product information, and comparison with recommendations of other authorities. Links to other sites may be provided as additional sources of information.



- Clinical predictors: Time, size, antithrombotics
- Imaging predictors: Spot sign, NCCT signs



ABC Care Bundle for ICH



Anticoagulant reversal: Reversal agents delivered as quickly as possible

Blood pressure lowering:

Using intravenous antihypertensives for rapid, intensive **BP** lowering

Care pathway: Prompt referral of appropriate patients to neurosurgery

ABC-ICH: Results





Difference in difference (Salford vs. England and Wales): -10.8 pp (95% Cl -17.9 to - 3.7), p = 0.003

SSNAP, Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme. Parry-Jones AR, Sammut-Powell C, Paroutoglou K, et al. *Ann Neurol*. 2019;86(4):495-503

Mediation Analysis



	Total Effect		NIE		NDE		% mediated
	Estimate (p-value)	95% CI	Estimate (p-value)	95% CI	Estimate (p-value)	95% CI	
Access to HDU	-0.0593 (0.02)	(-0.1097, -0.01)	-0.0073 (0.03)	(-0.0167, 0.00)	-0.0519 (0.04)	(-0.1019, 0.00)	12.3%
DNR within 24 h	-0.0594 (0.03)	(-0.1090, -0.01)	-0.0352 (<0.01)	(-0.0616, - 0.01)	-0.0242 (0.36)	(-0.0669, 0.03)	59.3%

Non-significant effects: anticoagulant reversal (DNT), intensive blood pressure lowering (y/n, DTT, Δ SBP 0-4 h), ICU (y/n), surgery (y/n, onset-to-surgery)

CI, confidence interval; DNR, do not resuscitate; DNT, door-to-needle time; DTT, diffusion tractor tractography; HDU, high dependency unit; ICU, intensive care unit; NDE, natural direct effect; NIE, natural indirect effect; SBP, systolic blood pressure. Parry-Jones AR, Sammut-Powell C, Paroutoglou K, et al. *Ann Neurol*. 2019;86(4):495-503

INTERACT3: Aim

Aim: Does goal-directed care bundle protocol in hospital improve outcomes after ICH?

INTERACT3 bundle (for 7 days):

Early intensive blood pressure lowering (SBP < 140 mmHg) management algorithms for:

- Hyperglycaemia (non-DM: 6.1-7.8, DM: 7.8-10 mmol/l)
- Pyrexia (<u><</u> 37.5°C)
- Abnormal anticoagulation (reversal warfarin, INR < 1.5)

INTERACT3: Results

- 3221 patients 'care bundle' group; 3815 'standard care'
- Primary outcome mRS at 6 months 88-90% complete



mRS, modified Rankin Score; OR, odds ratio; SAE, serious adverse event. Ma L, Hu X, Song L, et al. *Lancet*. 2023;402(10395):27-40.

INTERACT3: Comparison to ABC-ICH Cohort

	INTERACT3 (bundle group)	ABC-ICH (after implementation)
Neurosurgery performed (%)	26.4%	7.1%
Intensive care unit (%)	34.6%	18.3%
Palliated (%)	0.7% (< 7 days)	4.9% (< 1 h)
IV antihypertensives (%)	78.9%	24.1%
Median time to reaching BP target (IQR)	2.3 (0.8 to 8.0)	0.8 (0.6 to 1.1)
Anticoagulant	0.9%	12.7%
Time to achieving reversal target (h)	27.0 (24.0 to 48.0) *Door to INR < 1.5	1.8 (1.3 to 3.3) *Door to needle

IV, intravenous; IQR, interquartile range.

Parry-Jones AR, Sammut-Powell C, Paroutoglou K, et al. Ann Neurol. 2019;86(4):495-503; Ma L, Hu X, Song L, et al. Lancet. 2023;402(10395):27-40.

Conclusions

- Bundled care provides a framework and supports buy-in for quality improvement
- Mechanisms mediating benefit may differ in different healthcare systems
- Indirect effects on supportive care may be at least as important as specific interventions
- Implementation is very context specific sites should work to achieving process targets governed by local barriers

Multiple Choice Question

Which of the following were part of the protocol for a care bundle for intracerebral hemorrhage in the INTERACT3 trial?

- A. Reversal of DOACs with appropriate antidotes (andexanet alfa/ idarucizumab)
- B. Intensive BP lowering to a target to 140 mmHg
- C. Treatment of body temperature > 38.0°C
- D. Neurosurgical hematoma evacuation if hematoma volume > 30 ml

Looking for more resources on this topic?



- CME/CE in minutes
- Congress highlights
- Late-breaking data
- Quizzes

- Webinars
- In-person events
- Slides & resources

www.MedEdOTG.com